Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)
	09/878,499	BROWN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	A. M. Thompson	2825
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Examiner A. M. Thompson.	(3)	
(2) Mr. Pete P. Scott, 33,279	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 M	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	nt's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner(SPE)Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner inquiry as to whether or not Applicants filed another response subsequent to the Advisory Action of 16 December 2002. Applicants stated that they thought that an RCE had been faxed into the Office but were unable to provide verification of the faxed filing,i.e., papers faxed, fax number to which the RCE filing was sent, fax receipt. Applicants further stated that because it was uncertain whether or if such verification would be forthcoming, Examiner should proceed with the next action on the application.